Fenwick & West LL.P Attorners at Law Mountain View	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	RODGER R. COLE (CSB NO. 178865) rcole@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200 RACHAEL G. SAMBERG (CSB NO. 22369) rsamberg@fenwick.com MARY E. MILIONIS (CSB NO. 238827) mmilionis@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350 Attorneys for Defendant GLIMMERGLASS NETWORKS, INC.	4)	
	12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	14			
	15	TERILOGY CO., LTD.,	Case No. 3:08-cv-03364	
	16	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF MARY E. MILIONIS	
	17	v.	IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S ADMINSTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE	
	18	GLIMMERGLASS NETWORKS, INC.,	UNDER SEAL EXHIBIT SUBMITTED FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS	
	19	Defendant.	WOTION TO DISIMISS	
	20		Complaint Filed: July 11, 2008 Trial Date: None Set	
	21		That Bate. Trone Set	
	22	I, Mary E. Milionis, declare as follow	s:	
	23	1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Fenwick & West LLP, counsel for Defendant		
	24	Glimmerglass Networks, Inc. ("Glimmerglass"). I make this declaration in support of		
	25	Glimmerglass' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Exhibit Submitted for Judicial Notice in		
	26	Support of Motion to Dismiss. I make the following statements based upon my personal		
	27			
	28			
		MILIONIS DECL. ISO ADMINSTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE EXHIBIT UNDER SEAL	1 CASE NO. 3:08-CV-03364	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	2.	On July 11, 2008, Terilogy filed a complaint initiating the above-entitled action		
(the "Complaint"). Docket No. 1. Attached to the Complaint are five agreements that constitute				
highly confidential and proprietary Glimmerglass documents, including a Distributor Agreement				
betw	een Glim	merglass and Terilogy (Exhibit B to the Complaint).		

- 3. The Distributor Agreement contains proprietary information regarding the sale, distribution, and marketing of products manufactured by Glimmerglass which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Glimmerglass. By the Distributor Agreement's own terms, the parties are obligated to treat it and its provisions and attachments as "confidential."
- 4. Accordingly, on July 21, 2008, pursuant to stipulation by the Parties and for good cause demonstrated, the Court Ordered that the exhibits to the Complaint, including the Distributor Agreement, be sealed. Docket No. 9.
- 5. Glimmerglass is filing a motion to dismiss ("Motion to Dismiss") various causes of action in the Complaint, and a Request for Judicial Notice ("RJN") in connection with its Motion to Dismiss. The RJN seeks judicial notice of an exhibit ("Territory Exhibit") which is a part of the confidential Distributor Agreement (noted in paragraph 2, above), but which Plaintiff failed to attach to its Complaint. The Territory Exhibit is attached to the RJN as Exhibit A.
- 6. On or about August 20, 2008, Rodger R. Cole, a partner at my firm, e-mailed Mark Petersen, counsel for Terilogy, informing him that Glimmerglass intended to file the Motion to Dismiss for which certain moving papers would rely on the Parties' sealed and confidential agreements. Mr. Cole's e-mail, which I was copied on, further indicated that Glimmerglass intended to file a motion to seal any confidential portions or documents, and asked Mr. Petersen if his client would stipulate to their being filed under seal or otherwise not oppose a motion to seal.
- 7. On or about August 21, 2008, Mr. Peterson advised Mr. Cole by e-mail, on which I was also copied, that Terilogy would not oppose such a motion.

27

28

//

Filed 08/22/2008 Page 3 of 3

CASE NO. 3:08-CV-03364

Case 3:08-cv-03364-EMC Document 20

MOTION TO FILE EXHIBIT UNDER SEAL